

FIR No. 297/2025  
PS Shastri Park  
U/s 25/27 Arms Act, 1959  
State Vs. Wasim

12.09.2025

Present: Sh. Mohit, Ld. APP for the State.  
Sh. Ankit, Ld. Counsel for applicant.

It is stated that accused is in JC and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the accused in judicial custody as recovery, though planted, has already been done and there is no likelihood of influencing the witnesses. It is prayed that bail be granted as applicant has been falsely implicated and is willing to abide by all the terms that may be imposed upon him by the court.

Ld. APP for state has opposed the bail application under consideration relying upon the reply filed by the IO and the contents of the FIR.

Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, the period of custody undergone, the fact that investigation against the accused is complete and the fact that trial will take its own time, this Court deems it proper to allow the bail application of accused. Hence, the present application is allowed and applicant / accused **Wasim** is admitted on bail on furnishing of bail bond and surety bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount subject to the following conditions:-

- i) That he shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail;
- ii) That he shall not tamper with evidence in any manner;
- iii) That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the court about the same;
- iv) That he shall regularly appear before the court on each and every date of hearing;

Application stands disposed off. Copy Dasti.

(Kanika Agarwal)  
JMFC-03/NE/KKD Courts  
Delhi/12.09.2025